

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/516,731 | 03/01/2000 | Mitsuru Nishitsuka | 11690-002001 | 2693 | |
| 7: | 590 08/09/2002 | | | | |
| 0,510,151 | EXAMINER | | | | |
| 601 Thirteenth Street NW | | | RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO | , ARMANDO | |
| Washington, Do | C 20005 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2828 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , • | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 09/516,731 | NISHITSUKA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| TI MAN INO DATE of this assume to the | Armando Rodriguez | 2828 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | Park | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | 1. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Not | erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6,11-17, drawn to a semiconductor laser device, classified in class 372, subclass 43.
- Claims 7-10, drawn to a method of fabricating a semiconductor laser device, classified in class 438, subclass 405.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions I and II have different modes of operation, where invention I is the structural combination of a semiconductor laser to obtain laser light of two wavelengths and invention II is the method of making a semiconductor laser, which involves removing, bringing together, fussing, attaching and melting.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/516,731

Art Unit: 2828

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

Page 3

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Armando Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-6218. The examiner can

normally be reached on 10-hour day / M-F.

supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and

(703) 308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4881.

Armando Rodriguez

Examiner

Art Unit 2828

Paul Ip Supervisor Art Unit 2828

AR/PI

July 30, 2002